## Assessment Schedule - 2016

## Accounting: Interpret accounting information for sole proprietors (90980)

## **Evidence**

Question	Evidence			
ONE (a)		Analysis Measure 2016		
		Mark-up %	150%	
		Gross profit %	60%	
(b)	ARTZ adds 120% onto the cost of the artwork to get the selling price.			
(c)	ARTZ has increased the mark-up on Kobe selling more of his own art, which has no cost of goods sold, due to his parents gifting him his supplies, which increases mark-up, increasing the mark-up percentage.			
(d)	ARTZ could sell more of Kobe's artwork, which has no cost of goods sold, increasing the mark-up, increasing gross profit, increasing the gross profit percentage.			
	OR Kobe could increase the selling price of the art work he sells with the same cost of goods sold, increasing the mark-up, increasing gross profit, increasing the gross profit percentage.			

	No response; no relevant evidence	
Not Achieved	N1 Gives ONE description.	
	N2 Calculates ONE analysis measure and gives ONE description.	
Achievement	<ul> <li>Calculates analysis measures.</li> <li>Describes mark-up percentage.</li> <li>Describes a reason for the trend in mark-up percentage.</li> <li>A3 TWO of the above</li> <li>A4 ALL of the above</li> </ul>	
Achievement with Merit		
Achievement with Excellence	<ul> <li>Fully explains a reason for the trend in mark-up percentage.</li> <li>Justifies a recommendation to improve the gross profit percentage.</li> <li>E7 ONE of the above</li> <li>E8 BOTH of the above</li> </ul>	

Question	Evidence			
TWO (a)	Analysis Measure	2016		
	Distribution cost %	20%		
	Profit for the year %	30%		
(b)	In 2016, for every dollar of sales, <b>20 cents</b> was spent on decrease for the distribution cost percentage trend: ARTZ has sold more art work through the gallery because increases the gallery fee; increasing the gallery expense in therefore, increasing the distribution cost percentage. Or any other valid reason.  Recommendation: ARTZ could reduce/improve the distribution art work through the gallery, and looking at selling it solely relevant recommendation).  Justification: Selling through the Internet would decrease decreasing the gallery expenses, the distribution costs, and would increase the profit for the year, increasing the profit		increase in sales, which es the distribution costs, and,  cost percentage by selling less h the Internet (or any other  ninate the gallery fees, istribution cost percentage. This	

	NO N	lo response; no relevant evidence
Not Achieved	N1 G	ives ONE description.
	<b>N2</b> C	alculates ONE analysis measure and gives ONE description.
Achievement	<ul> <li>Calculates analysis measures.</li> <li>Describes the distribution cost percentage.</li> <li>Describes a reason for the trend in distribution cost percentage.</li> <li>A3 TWO of the above (including first bullet)</li> <li>A4 ALL of the above</li> </ul>	
Achievement with Merit	<ul> <li>Make</li> <li>M5 O</li> </ul>	nins a reason for the trend in distribution cost percentage.  Is a recommendation to improve the distribution cost percentage.  INE of the above  OTH of the above
Achievement with Excellence	<ul> <li>Justif</li> <li>E7 O</li> </ul>	explains a reason for the trend in distribution cost percentage. ies a recommendation to improve/decrease the distribution cost percentage. NE of the above OTH of the above

Question	Evidence			
THREE (a)		Analysis measure	2016	
		Current ratio	1.64:1	
		Liquid ratio	0.89:1	
(b)	The liquid ratio for 2015 means for every dollar of immediate/liquid liabilities, <i>ARTZ</i> had 1.35:1 of immediate/liquid assets. This means that <i>ARTZ</i> could meet its immediate debts.			
(c)	A possible reason for the decrease in the liquid ratio is that on 1 February, <i>ARTZ</i> purchased art work from Kobe's friends for the art exhibition, which decreased bank (or increased accounts payable), which decreased liquid assets (or increased liquid liabilities) – thereby decreasing the liquid ratio.  OR any other relevant reason			
(d)	<b>Recommendation</b> : ARTZ could improve the liquid ratio by extending the time on the loan from Kobe's family.			
	Justification: This would change the liquid liability into a non current liability, decreasing the liquid liabilities – which would increase the liquid ratio.			
	(Or any other relevant answer).			

	NØ No response; no relevant evidence
Not Achieved	N1 Gives ONE description.
	N2 Calculates ONE analysis measure and gives ONE description.
Achievement	<ul> <li>Calculates analysis measures.</li> <li>Describes the liquid ratio.</li> <li>Describes a reason for the trend in liquid ratio.</li> <li>A3 TWO of the above</li> <li>A4 ALL of the above</li> </ul>
Achievement with Merit	<ul> <li>Explains a reason for the trend in liquid ratio.</li> <li>Makes a recommendation to improve the liquid ratio.</li> <li>M5 ONE of the above</li> <li>M6 ALL of the above</li> </ul>
Achievement with Excellence	<ul> <li>Fully explains a reason for the trend in liquid ratio.</li> <li>Justifies a recommendation to improve the liquid ratio.</li> <li>E7 ONE of the above</li> <li>E8 BOTH of the above</li> </ul>

## **Cut Scores**

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
0 – 6	7 – 12	13 – 18	19 – 24	