No part of the candidate evidence in this exemplar material may be presented in an external assessment for the purpose of gaining credits towards an NCEA qualification.

3

SUPERVISOR'S USE ONLY

91380



## Level 3 Business Studies, 2015

91380 Demonstrate understanding of strategic response to external factors by a business that operates in a global context

2.00 p.m. Tuesday 24 November 2015 Credits: Four

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Demonstrate understanding of strategic response to external factors by a business that operates in a global context.	Demonstrate in-depth understanding of strategic response to external factors by a business that operates in a global context.	Demonstrate comprehensive understanding of strategic response to external factors by a business that operates in a global context.

Check that the National Student Number (NSN) on your admission slip is the same as the number at the top of this page.

There is ONE task in this booklet. You should attempt ALL parts of the task.

Refer to relevant business knowledge and/or Māori business concepts in your answers.

If you need more room for any answer, use the extra space provided at the back of this booklet.

Check that this booklet has pages 2–10 in the correct order and that none of these pages is blank.

YOU MUST HAND THIS BOOKLET TO THE SUPERVISOR AT THE END OF THE EXAMINATION.

Not Achieved

TOTAL

2

ASSESSOR'S USE ONLY

Use the background information below, the supplementary information in the other boxes, and your business knowledge to complete this task.

New Zealand-owned and Ashburton-based clothing company *Urban Village* has been successfully selling trendy casualwear to the young adult market (people aged 20–30) in New Zealand since 2008. As a result of *Urban Village* having an online store, increasing interest was shown by people from Asian markets. To take advantage of this, *Urban Village* launched a new range called "Street Savvy".

The senior managers believed that a successful release of the new range would be crucial to the long-term sustainability of *Urban Village*. There were concerns over the high cost of manufacturing in Ashburton, which would impact on the competitiveness of the product overseas. The senior managers believed that for the new range to be a success, *Urban Village* needed to move production from Ashburton to the Philippines, to take advantage of a cheaper and more skilful workforce. The board of directors supported the move, but insisted that the administration section of the business remained at its base in Ashburton. The move was made in April 2014.

As well as the cost advantage of manufacturing in the Philippines, the senior managers were hopeful that the Filipino young adult market would identify with the new range. Another advantage of manufacturing in the Philippines is its proximity to other Asian markets. As a result, the senior managers plan to expand sales staff into South Korea in 2016, followed by Thailand and Malaysia in 2017. The size of these markets is huge, but the competition is very strong. The senior managers believe that the uniquely Kiwi nature of the "Street Savvy" range will make the clothing very appealing in these markets, but *Urban Village* must be competitive on price.

- (a) Fully explain the decision by *Urban Village* to become a multinational company and relocate production of the "Street Savvy" range to the Philippines.

  In your answer, refer to:
  - ONE reason for shifting production to the Philippines, other than lower labour costs
  - the impact this may have on the shareholders of *Urban Village*.

efficientcy: productivity/output,				
by shifting to the philippines, urban				
Villiage would be widening its				
Selling range, hiving more workers				
to produce more clothes to Fuel				
He need for constoners. The Stores				
over In the philippines will be				
producing a lot more than the				
one in sishburton because of the				
more people working for the				
company. The majority of the				
workens will pe philippino so with				
their cloth making skills they hope				
to increase production and quality.				
Impact: This will benifit the shareholders				
because it is iver potentially increasing				
He popularity of Street Savy which				
could lead to bigger protit				
morgins and make the				
company more sucsses fult				

After *Urban Village* moved to the Philippines in April 2014, senior managers were very disappointed with the profits reported for the company's first 12 months of operation there. Labour costs were much higher than anticipated.

It appears that the problem has been mainly caused by the Operations Manager, Phil Whiting, having a lack of understanding of Filipino culture, which has resulted in poor staff morale and a high staff turnover.

- (b) Fully explain the impact that poor cultural intelligence by *Urban Village* may have on the retention of its Filipino staff, and therefore on the business.In your answer, refer to:
  - the impact that the lack of cultural intelligence may have on staff turnover
  - the impact that this would have on productivity and business performance.

dicultural intellagence, massive business Staff Operati

The senior managers have instructed Phil to develop a strategy that will ensure a more appropriate response by *Urban Village* to the culture of its Filipino workers.

ASSESSOR'S USE ONLY

- (c) Evaluate a possible strategic cultural response by *Urban Village*. In your answer:
  - state the strategic response and explain why it is a business-wide reaction at multiple levels (at least TWO of size, scope, and timeframe)
  - fully explain ONE positive impact and ONE negative impact of the cultural response
  - provide a justified conclusion, including any new information, as to the likely success of the cultural response in resolving the staff turnover issue.

philippino expressions/obj ontcasi ve impact: ihoreace everybody impact: Some

More space for this answer is available on the next page.

Many multinational businesses take sustainability actions to meet societal expectations and make their presence more acceptable. Some actions are successful and others are not; for example, *Urban Village* decided to spend time and money on cleaning up the pollution in a stream near their production plant, to the benefit of local farmers downstream.

- (d) Evaluate a strategic response, by a New Zealand-registered business operating in a global context, to a sustainability issue (any ONE of social, cultural, environmental, or economic). The response may have already happened, or it may be a possible future response. In your answer:
  - state the strategic response and explain why this is a business-wide reaction at multiple levels (at least TWO of size, scope, and timeframe)
  - fully explain ONE positive impact and ONE negative impact of the strategic response
  - provide a justified conclusion, including any new information, as to the likely success of the strategic response in addressing the sustainability issue faced by the business you have chosen.

Name of New Zealand- registered business	Paramont mining LTD
Sustainability issue	water pollution (sedement) in candidian Rivers

paramont mining LTD were mining in the Yakon canada and had complaints about dirty nater In the River which could be harmful to the wildlike. If the company didn't come up with nays to make the water that they were Reddessing into the River clean the company would be shut down (Environmental)

More space for this answer is available on the next page.

Strategy one: cheate a nater. System that goes through Stages of (water Settling) to make Mi water chean before #+ Abhr into the River, & One possible strontegy could be that they Dig setting pand and let Me dirty nater Settle (Let all the Sediment Sink to the bottom) so when the natur is released it is nice and clean Strateg two: divert the water So that the water seeps into the loose Rocks (tuilings) and goes under ground. This could possibly course différent problems bat it would keep the green activist or goverment bappy by not drunging the dirty water in the River! positive impacts: would be the

positive impacts: would be the

the mining operation to wontd

Still comence and productivity

could carry and and since

the clean water will benefit

the wildlife everyone is

happy.

## Extra space if required. Write the question number(s) if applicable.

QUESTION NUMBER

	regative impacts: takes time to
	Set up the ponds so that
	time spent doing that could be
	time spent working or Author
	owners of the company decide
\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	to let the dirty water seep
	into the tailings (already mined
	of loose gravel) and the divty
	water some how is making
	its way over ( under ground)
	to the neibouring mining
	operation and making their
	water supply dirty that could
	course more isues
	The company decides to make
	settling pends and sacrifice
	two days to the chase.
	I The system was a sucess
	and the clean water
	would be Released every 3 days after it had settled
	3 days after it had settled
	so évergones happy. Le governent
*************	approved of the plan and
	Me company could continue
	mining.

Not Ach	nieved exemplar for 91380, 2015	Overall score: N2	
Q part	Annotation		
(a)	The candidate has provided some evidence of Achievement by explaining one reason for shifting production to the Philippines, but the impact on the shareholders has not been explained.		
(b)	The candidate has provided some evidence of Achievement by explaining the impact of a lack of cultural intelligence, but has not explained how this will impact on staff turnover, nor have they explained the impact that this will have on productivity and business performance.		
(c)	A strategic response has been stated, but why it is a business-wide reaction has not been explained (no mention of size, scope, or timeframe). The positive and negative impacts of the response have been stated, but not explained. The conclusion is poor and does not justify why the strategic response will be successful. There is no new information.		
(d)	An environmental strategic response has been stated, but why it is a business-wide reaction has not been explained (no mention of size, scope, or timeframe). The positive impact has not been explained. The negative impact is partly explained. The conclusion is poor and does not justify why the strategic response will be successful, nor does it provide any new information.		
	Overall, the response shows poor business knowledge and use terms.	s only basic business	