No part of the candidate evidence in this exemplar material may be presented in an external assessment for the purpose of gaining credits towards an NCEA qualification.

SUPERVISOR'S USE ONLY

91381



Level 3 Business Studies, 2017

91381 Apply business knowledge to address a complex problem(s) in a given global business context

9.30 a.m. Friday 10 November 2017 Credits: Four

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
Apply business knowledge to address a complex problem(s) in a given global business context.	Apply in-depth business knowledge to address a complex problem(s) in a given global business context.	Apply comprehensive business knowledge to address a complex problem(s) in a given global business context.	

Check that the National Student Number (NSN) on your admission slip is the same as the number at the top of this page.

There is ONE task in this booklet. You should attempt ALL FOUR parts of the task.

Pull out Resource Booklet 91381R from the centre of this booklet.

Refer to relevant business knowledge and/or Māori business concepts in your answers.

If you need more room for any answer, use the extra space provided at the back of this booklet.

Check that this booklet has pages 2–12 in the correct order and that none of these pages is blank.

YOU MUST HAND THIS BOOKLET TO THE SUPERVISOR AT THE END OF THE EXAMINATION.

Merit **TOTAL** ASSESSOR'S USE ONLY Use the information from the resource booklet and the boxes in this booklet, in addition to your business knowledge, to complete this task.

Kete Kai started in Auckland in 2011 with a simple idea – to supply a low-cost food box of natural ingredients and recipes for households, to allow them to home-cook and share great family meals.

The business founders placed a real focus on social sustainability, for their customers and for their employees. They worked hard to maintain good relationships.

Sales grew rapidly in the years up to 2016.

TASK

(a) Using Resources A and B, fully explain TWO possible causes of *Kete Kai*'s rapid sales growth to 2016.

possible cause of Kete kai's rapid sales is growth in 2016 could be due an increase in consumer confidence which grew in 2016 This means consumers households confidents about the future and are therefore willing to spend more on goods and services. Kete kai to provides low cost food boxes for households, this increase in consumer confidence means that consumers what are now it wanting to spend more, therefore as the foodbox is a practical item for this has motivated these Durchase this box therefore in 2016 experienced a large increase in sales with very & happy customers, as apposed to 2015 When consumer confidence was low and consumers didn't want to spend due to uncertainly of future

Another possible cause of the rapid sales growth could be due to the falling unemployment in/

New Zealand. This means more New Zealanders are getting jobs their therefore have income to spend. As kete is kai' provides essential food which is a busic necessity and was method reciptes to cook it. This means these low cost boxes will spark and interest thousand their households especially these employed people as it will not cause a large dent in their income and is also simple and easy as the reciptor and ingredients have all ready. When they arrive home from work. Therefore this fall in unemp unemployment could be another reason why the sales for kete kai's food boxes experienced rapid sales in 2016 /

In 2016, *Kete Kai* made the decision to apply the same business model in Sydney, Australia. Investor interest was high, and *Kete Kai* raised sufficient capital to fund cash flow for the Sydney growth strategy.

(b) Using Resources C and D, fully explain TWO possible effects on Kete Kai of its decision to expand into Sydney.

possible effect on 'kete keii' from its decision to expand into Sydney is that the business in Sydney may not be able to of their key raw materials from New Zegland and Puha, in time the food boxes to customers. This for 'kete kai' (Syaney) means that the ingredients may not arrive in time to complete the box therefore customers win either go without experience a time elelay. This will mean unhappy customers and a tarnished regulation for in Sydney. Therefore they may expense find it difficult to find loyal customers and impact by decreasing their profit which will be negative exect towards kete kai's desision to expand to sydney.

Another possible effect of their decision to expand to Sydney is the Manguay Maori language barrier. The Australians are not familiar with the Maori language in the as it is a native New Zealand language, Therefore the Australians market is unknown and be disencouraged to purchase the food boxes from 'Kete Rai' are as they have not been informed or shift taught about /

5									
the Maior language and culture. This means assessors use only									
Auxtralian customers are not drawn to buying									
the boxes from 'Kete koil' therefore the									
Sydney store may be find it difficult to enter									
ther market and set up a strong customer									
base. Therefore they will be losing profits									
to due to the large cost of resetting up business									
in Sidney and hat recipied enough sides									
in Sydney and not recieving enough sales, which could impact kete kai's finances long									
Lorons 1									
term.									

ASSESSOR'S USE ONLY

Kete Kai's first premises in Sydney were hired on a short-term basis. Once initial expansion problems had been overcome, *Kete Kai* decided it would need to purchase a larger Australian warehouse location to operate from in the long term. It looked at two possible solutions.

- (c) Using Resource E, evaluate BOTH possible solutions. In your answer:
 - fully explain ONE positive effect that EACH solution would have on the business
 - state the solution you recommend
 - justify your recommendation by explaining why it would be a better solution for Kete Kai than the other option.

Option I.: A positive effect of this too location is that the factory is close the the Sydney Airport. This factor will be beneficial to Kete kai' as it means when they source their New Zealand grown raw materials from New Zealand it will be a quick transportation of the ingredients to the total factory which will sove large amounts of time in the Sydney traffic and mean the efficiency in which kete kai (Sydney) can produce their food boxes will increase thus increasing their productivity and the boxes can then be sent out on time to customers which will result in happy customers thus increasing the businesses reputation and therefore long term profits increase.

Option 2: A positive effect of this location is that the factory is chase in the Bondi area Which has a large & expatriate New Zealand propole population. This means there will be many

New Zealandeers living in this area who may be attracted towards purchasing the food logs from 'kete kai' to get a taste of home and support the New Zealand business. This will help to increase sales for kete kai and therefore increase profit. Overall I recommend "Kete kai" choose Option 1 for their Australian workhouse. This is because it is close to the Sydney airport so can source New Zealand ingredients faster as explained above, it a is also close to La Perouse Market Gardens, vegetable supplier where kete kai can also source other natural ingredients that do not need to come from NZ and have quick and easy asser access to it. Whereas option 2 is close to the expatriate NZ population and Bondi bleach, gym and shops, however the nearby locations in Option I are more beneficial in allowing the food boxes to be made efficiently. Also option One is \$800,000 cheaper than the option 2 Harehouse Which will a save 'kete kai' funds which they can use to improve other areas of the business. Lastly Option I also has a factory size of 300 m² and an office space of 20 m², athered which will be beneficial for kete kai when prostoring and producing the food boxes, whereas Option 2 has factory area of 240m² and office of 40m2. As the factory area is most important for the manufacturing of these boxes over the office area this is another reason identifying Option One's suitability. *Kete Kai*'s next step was to purchase a large-scale refrigeration unit, to be installed at the new location to keep meats, fruits, and vegetables fresh. The production manager has researched many models and has suggested two possible solutions to choose from.

- (d) Using Resource F, evaluate BOTH possible solutions. In your answer:
 - fully explain ONE positive effect that EACH solution would have on the business
 - state the solution you recommend
 - justify your recommendation by explaining why it would be a better solution for *Kete Kai* than the other solution.

Solution A: A positive effect of purchasing this refrigeration unit is that the payback period is 4 years, 6 months. This means over this time the fridge will be paid off and white after 4 years 6 months it will be fully so paid off. This means after this time kete Rai will experience the full of benefits of this unit as none of the soles revenue will be taken out to pay for this. This means after this short the time kete kai' will experience reduced costs and therefore increased profits long term.

Solution B: A positive effect of purchasing this refrigeration unit is that the size is very large. Kete kei Will benefit greatly from the Am × 2m × 1m unit as it means more vegetables a can be stored away and kept fresh. This is a positive as it means more boxes can be created at a time as there sae more room to store the food. This increases the productivity for 'kete kai' as more boxes a producted means more customers that can producted means more customers that can

receive the box therefore as sales increase assessor's in turn so closs profit. Overall I recommend 'kete kai' choose Solution B. This is because of the larger fridge space as opposed to Solution A which is Imx 2mx O. 6m, therefore Solution B is more suitable in allowing more ingredients to be stored which primarily will the help increase the number of boxes that can be produced Also the Average rate of return is 10.2°% which means tooker with howe a return of 10,2% will of sales for 'kete kai' Will be a preserresult of this fridge, whereas Solution A's is 7.5%. Although Solution B bloes have a pay back period of 7 years as opposed to the other fridge which is about half of that. I still believe that over time this larger fridge will be more beneficial towards kete kais output and overall profits as opposed to the smaller fridge, therefore the 7 years paybook period should not be a problem and the positive imports outweigh this. Overall 'keteleai' will be more profitable in the long term if they chose Solution B.//-

Subject:		Level 3 Business Studies		Standard:	91381	Total score:	M5		
Q		ade ore	Annotation						
	M5		Part (a) The candidate has fully explained possible causes of the rapid growth in sales. Holistically, the two explanations of the causes provide sufficient evidence for the answer to reach a low Merit level. Part (b)						
			The two effects of the expansion have been fully explained – for example, "Customers will experience delays, meaning unhappy customers and a tarnished reputation, which will impact on profits." Statements such as ' impacts on profit, due to the cost of setting up business in Sydney and will impact <i>Kete Kai</i> 's finances long term " are weak Fully Explained effects; however, holistically this answer provides enough evidence for Merit.						
1		<i>M</i> 5	Part (c) The effect of option 1 is fully explained. The candidate has related the positive effect directly to the impact on the business, such as " increasing productivity, increasing business reputation long-term profits increase". Option two is partially explained, but the assumption is made that increased sales automatically increase profit, without any other effects on the business. The recommendation does provide a little additional evidence, but not to the extent required to achieve an Excellence grade.						
			Part (d)						
			The candidate has fully explained an effect of each solution, with solution B gaining additional evidence through the explanation of the recommendation. The recommendation does not provide enough additional business knowledge or depth to enable the candidate to reach Excellence level.						
			Overall, this is a Merit response to the task.						